Hardware Accelerated Equilibrium Propagation

HEqProp

Table of Contents

[1 Project Summary 2](#_Toc119863842)

[2 Participants 3](#_Toc119863843)

[3 Budget Breakdown 4](#_Toc119863844)

[4 Workplan 5](#_Toc119863845)

[4.1 List of Work Packages (WP) 5](#_Toc119863846)

[4.2 List of Deliverables 5](#_Toc119863847)

[4.3 Work Package Description 6](#_Toc119863848)

[4.4 List of Milestones 23](#_Toc119863849)

[4.5 Project Reviews 23](#_Toc119863850)

[4.6 Project Efforts 24](#_Toc119863851)

[5 Project Description 25](#_Toc119863852)

[5.1 Scientific and Technical Quality 25](#_Toc119863853)

[5.1.1 Concept and Objectives 25](#_Toc119863854)

[5.1.2 Progress Beyond the State-of-the-Art 25](#_Toc119863855)

[5.1.3 Success Criteria and Research Indicators 25](#_Toc119863856)

[5.1.4 S/T Methodology 25](#_Toc119863857)

[5.2 Implementation 25](#_Toc119863858)

[5.2.1 Management Structure and Procedures 25](#_Toc119863859)

[5.2.2 Beneficiaries 25](#_Toc119863860)

[5.2.3 Consortium as a Whole 25](#_Toc119863861)

[5.2.4 Resources to be committed 25](#_Toc119863862)

[5.3 Impact 25](#_Toc119863863)

[5.3.1 Strategic Impact 25](#_Toc119863864)

[5.3.2 Plans for the Use and Dissemination of Foreground Knowledge 26](#_Toc119863865)

# Project Summary

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project Acronym** | *HEQPROP* |
| **Project Title** | *Hardware Accelerated Equilibrium Propagation* |
| **Starting Date** | *2023-01-01* |
| **Duration** | *36* |
| **Abstract** | |
| *Main goal of the project is to create a novel circuit which would implement the previously proposed Equilibrium Propagation aided NEAT algorithm which we proposed and already achieved impressive results with. Due to this approach we managed to create a fully hardware implementable algorithm which matches the performance of the state of the art in most cases even in software implemented forms. Our simulations showed that after hardware implementations the speed difference between our method and its contemporaries will be enormous. Emboldened by these simulations we are planning to team up with experts in hardware design from* Budapesti Műszaki és Gazdaságtudományi Egyetem *and hardware production experts from [Some hardware company] to make a prototype which could be made commercially available in the future. During the development multiple prototypes will be made and extensively tested in order to determine a cost-effective easy to make product which also offers great performance. We expect that during the hardware implementations we will encounter certain design / manufacturing limitations which will force us to redesign part of the proposed algorithm. These potential obstacles are planned for, and we made sure to have enough manpower and time to solve any potential issues.*  *The end product will be a prototype which can be mass manufactured if there is a demand for it and will provide significant performance benefits in a multitude of machine learning applications.* | |

# Participants

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Name** | **Short name** | **Country** | **Project entry month** | **Project exit month** |
| 1 | Eötvös Loránd University | ELTE | Hungary | 1 | 36 |
| 2 | Budapesti Műszaki és Gazdaságtudományi Egyetem | BME | Hungary | 6 | 36 |
| 3 | *[Some hardware company]* | SHC |  | 12 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

*List of participating organizations. Add or remove rows if necessary.*

# Budget Breakdown

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Participant** | | **Estimated eligible costs** | | | | | | | | **Requested contribution (€)** |
| **No.** | **Short name** | **Effort (PM)** | **Personnel cost (€)** | **Subcon-tracting (€)** | **Direct costs (€)** | | | **Indirect costs (€)** | **Total costs (€)** |
| **Travel** | **Equipment** | **Other** |
| 1 | ELTE | 114 | 228,000 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 15,000 | 63,250 | 316,250 | 221,375 |
| 2 | BME | 84 | 168,000 | 0 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 15,000 | 53,250 | 266,250 | 186,375 |
| 3 | SHC | 120 | 240,000 | 0 | 10,000 | 50,000 | 15,000 | 78,750 | 393,750 | 275,625 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Budget breakdown for each participant, including RTD, DEM, MGT, OTHER costs. Add or remove rows if necessary.*

*Notes:*

* *Effort: total person months according to the Workplan.*
* *Indirect costs: apply 25% flat-rate, i.e. the indirect costs must be the 25% of the personnel and direct costs together.*
* *Total costs: sum of all costs, including personnel, subcontracting, direct, and indirect costs.*
* *Requested contribution: the reimbursement rate is 70%, i.e. the requested contribution must not exceed the 70% of the total eligible costs.*

# Workplan

## List of Work Packages (WP)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Title** | **Type of activity** | **Lead beneficiary short name** | **Person-months** | **Start month** | **End month** |
| WP11 | Project Management | MGT | ELTE | 15 | 1 | 36 |
| WP12 | Scientific Coordination | RTD | ELTE | 15 | 1 | 36 |
| WP21 | Hardware Design | RTD | BME | 48 | 6 | 18 |
| WP22 | Hardware Development | RTD | SHC | 108 | 12 | 36 |
| WP23 | Hardware Testing | RTD | BME | 24 | 24 | 36 |
| WP24 | Software Development & Implementation | RTD | ELTE | 36 | 1 | 18 |
| WP25 | Software optimizations | RTD | ELTE | 12 | 19 | 22 |
| WP26 | Hardware optimizations | RTD | SHC | 12 | 30 | 36 |
| WP27 | Explore distributed version (RNEAT) | RTD | ELTE | 18 | 23 | 30 |
| WP31 | Demonstration: Common benchmarks | DEM | ELTE | 18 | 31 | 36 |
| WP32 | Demonstration: Walking robot | DEM | BME | 12 | 30 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | **Total** | **318** |  |  |

*List of work packages including all activities. Add or remove rows if necessary. Sum up the total person-months in the last row.*

*Notes:*

* *No.: number work packages as WP1, WP2, …, WPn.*
* *Type of activity: RTD (Research, Technological Development), MGT (Management), DEM (Demonstration), OTHER (Other activities).*

## List of Deliverables

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Title** | **WP No.** | **Lead beneficiary short name** | **Person-month** | **Type** | **Dissemi-nation Level** | **Delivery date** |
| D1 | FPMA prototype | WP21 | SHC | 108 | P | PU | 2025-01-01 |
| D2 | FPMA testing report | WP22 | BME | 12 | R | PU | 2025-10-01 |
| D3 | EqNEAT implementation | WP23 | ELTE | 36 | P | PU | 2023-03-01 |
| D4 | Paper on distributed EqNEAT | WP25 | ELTE | 18 | O | PU | 2025-06-01 |
| D5 | Paper on test evaluations | WP31 | ELTE | 18 | O | PU | 2025-12-01 |
| D6 | Walking robot | WP32 | BME | 12 | D | PU | 2025-12-01 |
|  |  |  | **Total** | **192** |  |  |  |

*List of essential deliverables for project monitoring. Add or remove rows if necessary. Sum up the total person-months in the last row.*

*Notes:*

* *No.: number deliverables as D1, D2, …, Dn, or as D1.1, D1.2, …, Dn.m. Order by delivery date.*
* *Type: R (Report), P (Prototype), D (Demonstrator), O (Other).*
* *Dissemination level: PU (Public), CO (Confidential).*
* *Delivery date: month in which the deliverable will be available.*

## Work Package Description

*Include a detailed description for each work package, preferably on separate pages.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WP No.** | WP11 |
| **WP Title** | Project Management |
| **Objectives** | |
| * Coordinating efforts of different teams * Managing budget for the entire project * Managing travels of the different teams at different locations * Communicating with outside entities * Making sure deadlines will be met | |
| **Description of Work** | |
| *Project management will be the task of one person from the ELTE team and it is only going to be a partial job for that person. They will make sure that communications and purchasing of materials and / or services is going smoothly and none of the other team members have to concern themselves with these sorts of tasks. As research requires a focused mindset as many of the mondain tasks have to be carried out by a single person as possible to make sure others can work to maximum effect.* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WP No.** | WP12 |
| **WP Title** | Scientific Coordination |
| **Objectives** | |
| * Making sure that the teams are following the same technical direction * Making decisions if there is an internal disagreement in the teams * Handling the technical communications with outside entities * Choosing the right technical path for the teams to follow | |
| **Description of Work** | |
| *The task of the scientific coordinator will be very important as they will decide whether the teams follow a specific technical direction or another. During disagreement of technical nature they will decide what will be the right decision and they are responsible for making sure that as little time as possible is wasted on internal technical conflicts. Scientific coordination is going to be the task of the most experienced member of the ELTE team and we are counting on their expertise. An experienced leader will streamline the whole process and will provide good learning opportunity to the new researchers.* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WP No.** | WP21 |
| **WP Title** | Hardware Design |
| **Objectives** | |
| * Designing the optimal hardware to implement the proposed method * Strongly cooperating with the ELTE teams to reach optimal solutions from both hardware implementation and algorithm side. * Making sure that the proposed method is implementable in a cost-effective and easy to manufacture manner. | |
| **Description of Work** | |
| *Experts from BME will be aiding the design team at ELTE to make sure that our proposed method will be implementable in hardware form in a manner which is not just performant but sensible as well. The main goal is to create a commercially available hardware which could be bought by normal users at a reasonable price to speed up their AI operations. This will require a more carefully designed architecture to make sure it’s not just a one-off prototype but a viable field ready hardware. After the development reached a stable state we will contact an other team to manage production a further developing to optimize it to the production technologies and make it cost effective. In the meantime experts at BME will be working with the aforementioned team to further refine the design in parallel with the production team which will constantly create prototype. These prototypes will be tested to make sure they are improvement compared to the previous ones.* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WP No.** | WP22 |
| **WP Title** | Hardware Development |
| **Objectives** | |
|  | |
| **Description of Work** | |
| *Description of work, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and inter work package dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WP No.** | WP23 |
| **WP Title** | Hardware Testing |
| **Objectives** | |
|  | |
| **Description of Work** | |
| *Description of work, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and inter work package dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WP No.** | WP24 |
| **WP Title** | Software Development & Implementation |
| **Objectives** | |
|  | |
| **Description of Work** | |
| *Description of work, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and inter work package dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WP No.** | WP25 |
| **WP Title** | Software Optimizations |
| **Objectives** | |
|  | |
| **Description of Work** | |
| *Description of work, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and inter work package dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WP No.** | WP26 |
| **WP Title** | Hardware Optimizations |
| **Objectives** | |
|  | |
| **Description of Work** | |
| *Description of work, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and inter work package dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WP No.** | WP27 |
| **WP Title** | Explore distributed version (RNEAT) |
| **Objectives** | |
|  | |
| **Description of Work** | |
| *Description of work, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and inter work package dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WP No.** | WP31 |
| **WP Title** | Demonstration: Common Benchmarks |
| **Objectives** | |
|  | |
| **Description of Work** | |
| *Description of work, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and inter work package dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WP No.** | WP32 |
| **WP Title** | Demonstrations: Walking Robot |
| **Objectives** | |
|  | |
| **Description of Work** | |
| *Description of work, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and inter work package dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WP No.** | WP11 |
| **WP Title** | Project Management |
| **Objectives** | |
|  | |
| **Description of Work** | |
| *Description of work, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and inter work package dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WP No.** | WP11 |
| **WP Title** | Project Management |
| **Objectives** | |
|  | |
| **Description of Work** | |
| *Description of work, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and inter work package dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WP No.** | WP11 |
| **WP Title** | Project Management |
| **Objectives** | |
|  | |
| **Description of Work** | |
| *Description of work, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and inter work package dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.* | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WP No.** | WP11 |
| **WP Title** | Project Management |
| **Objectives** | |
|  | |
| **Description of Work** | |
| *Description of work, broken down into tasks. Description of phases and milestones, including inter-task and inter work package dependencies. Roles and responsibilities of participants. Description of deliverables.* | |

## List of Milestones

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Name** | **Related WP(s)** | **Delivery date** | **Comments** |
| MS1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

*List and schedule of milestones. Add or remove rows if necessary.*

*Notes:*

* *No.: number milestones as MS1, MS2, …, MSn. Order by delivery date.*
* *Related WP(s): one or more related Work Packages.*
* *Delivery date: month in which the milestone will be achieved.*
* *Comments: description, verification, indicators, validation, etc. (if applicable).*

## Project Reviews

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Tentative timing** | **Planned venue of review** | **Comments, if any** |
| RV1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

*Tentative schedule of project reviews.* *Add or remove rows if necessary.*

*Notes:*

* *No.: number reviews as RV1, RV2, …, RVn. Order by timing.*

## Project Efforts

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Participant WP** | ELTE |  |  |  |  | **Total** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Research, technological development (RTD) activities** | | | | | | |
| WP1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Total RTD** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Demonstration (DEM) activities** | | | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Total DEM** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Management (MGT) activities** | | | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Total MGT** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Other activities** | | | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Total OTHER** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Project efforts by activity type per beneficiary, in person-months.* *Include each participant (columns) and each work package (rows), grouped by activity types. Add or remove rows or columns, or rotate the page, if necessary. Sum up the total person-months for each WP (rows), for each participant (columns) by activity types, and for the whole project.*

# Project Description

## Scientific and Technical Quality

### Concept and Objectives

*General description: challenges, visions, aims. Detailed description of WP objectives.*

### Progress Beyond the State-of-the-Art

*List and detailed description of results beyond the state-of-the-art.*

### Success Criteria and Research Indicators

*List of success criteria related to objectives (working software tools, benchmarks, validation methods, etc.), achieved by milestones and reported in deliverables. List of target research indicators (publications, patents, conferences, workshops, new collaborations, training activities).*

### S/T Methodology

*Scientific and technological methodology: structure and dependencies of work packages, description of objectives and the corresponding work packages, timing and dependencies of WPs and tasks (including Gantt chart(s)). Risks and contingency plans, critical path analysis.*

## Implementation

### Management Structure and Procedures

*Description of the management structure: steering committee, advisory board, work package team leaders, project coordinator, administration. Roles, management tasks, and responsibilities of participants.*

### Beneficiaries

*Description of individual participants: expertise, tasks, Principal Investigators (PI).*

### Consortium as a Whole

*Description of the consortium structure: collaborations, roles and beneficiary expertise of partners.*

### Resources to be committed

*Explanation and justification of budget allocation.*

## Impact

### Strategic Impact

*List of expected impacts, and explanation on how the impacts will be achieved.*

### Plans for the Use and Dissemination of Foreground Knowledge

*Description of dissemination strategies (via education, user communities, conferences, journals, etc.). List of expected publications. Communication plan for science communication. Business strategies: innovation, exploitation, business plan, competitor analysis. Management of intellectual properties (IP): rights for background and foreground knowledge. List of expected foreground IPs, plans for legal protection (e.g. patents).*